

New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 23 JANUARY 2014

WELLINGTON: FRIDAY, 24 JANUARY 2014 — ISSUE NO. 9

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS OF NEW ZEALAND — CORRIGENDUM

PURSUANT TO SECTION 28 OF THE ELECTORAL ACT 1993 The Representation Commission ("Commission") appointed pursuant to section 28 of the Electoral Act 1993 hereby publishes, in accordance with section 38 of that Act, a corrigendum to the Objections to Proposed Boundaries of Electoral Districts of New Zealand published on 14 January 2014 (Issue No. 3) as set out in the Schedule hereto.

A copy of the corrigendum has been sent to all places of inspection where the summary of objections may be viewed. The summary of objections available online at www.elections.govt.nz has also been amended accordingly.

Schedule of Amended Summaries

Electoral District and Nature of Objection

N04 Helensville

N04-035 b, n **Objection P Legel**

Objects to the name of the electorate. The name 'Waitakere' has historic association with west Auckland. The boundaries should better reflect the connections between the area's rural and urban communities.

Suggested solution: Name the electorate 'Waitakere'. Alternatively, name it 'West Auckland'.

N13 Mt Roskill

N13-002 b **Objection M N Neutze and 208 others**

Form submission from residents objecting to their addresses being taken out of the Epsom electorate and dividing a traditional Epsom community. Their community and connections are with Epsom and not Mt Roskill. They wish to be represented by the MP for Epsom.

Suggested solution: Keep the existing Landscape Road and Selwyn Road boundary.

Some of the objectors suggest other boundary changes between Epsom and Tāmaki and to a smaller extent with Maungakiekie and possibly Mt Albert will balance electoral populations with less community disruption.

N13-002A b, h **Objection I Ham**

Similar to N13-002

Suggested solution: Landscape Road and Selwyn Road should remain the boundary between Mt Roskill and Epsom. The electoral quota needs to increase or there should be some other change to address the impact of increased population on boundary changes.

N13-002B b **Objection M Laurenson**

Similar to N13-002

Suggested solution: Keep Landscape Road and Selwyn Road as the boundary with Epsom. Move the eastern boundary of Epsom westward to Orakei Road. Extend Mt Roskill eastward to include Onehunga mall area and extend Maungakiekie northwards into Tāmaki along Pt England Road, Merton Road and Ngahue Drive to achieve the necessary quota for each electorate.

N13-002C b Objection V Chan Similar to N13-002 Suggested solution: Keep Landscape Road and Selwyn Road as the boundary with Epsom. Transfer the suburb of Grafton to Auckland Central. Move the Tāmaki / Epsom boundary from Kelvin Road west to Upland Road. N13-014 b. h Objection M Spiro Objects to the inclusion of parts of south Epsom in the Mt Roskill electorate as the community of interest lies with Epsom. The Auckland Council plans limit the growth in the Epsom area and this area should remain as one cohesive community. Suggested solution: Retain the existing boundaries. N13-015 C B Wildermoth b. h Objection Objects to the inclusion of parts of south Epsom in Mt Roskill as this area has no historic links with Mt Roskill. Community links are with Epsom and the proposed change would mean Epsom is under-represented. Suggested solution: Retain the existing boundaries, particularly the parts adjacent to and leading to One Tree Hill. N13-017 b Objection J Wilkins Similar to N13-014 Suggested solution: Retain Epsom and Mt Eden within the Epsom electorate. Remuera and Parnell to become another electorate. N13-019 b Objection T Bennett Objects to St Leonards Road being removed from the Epsom electorate. The community is connected by the north face geography and its heritage zoning means future population growth is limited. Suggested solution: Adjust the areas south of Landscape Road. N13-020 b Objection E Laurenson Objects to the inclusion of a distinct community of interest and historically significant parts of Epsom in the Mt Roskill electorate. Considers Mt Roskill as distinctive from Epsom. Suggested solution: If Epsom's electoral population has to be adjusted, boundary changes with Tāmaki, Mt Albert or Maungakiekie would better reflect the community of interest of Epsom. N13-021 Objection **Epsom & Eden District Historical Society Inc** b Similar to N13-020 N13-027 Objection b K Malloy Similar to N13-024 Suggested solution: Similar to N13-026 N13-028 Objection R McMillan b

Suggested solution: Move the eastern boundary at Kelvin Road westward.

Similar to N13-024

N13-029	b	Objection C D Parks Similar to N13-024
		Suggested solution: Similar to N13-028
N13-030	b	Objection B Young Objects to the inclusion of parts of south Epsom in the Mt Roskill electorate. The community affiliations and interests of the residents in this area lie with Epsom.
		Suggested solution: Include streets leading up to and including the corner of Mt Eden Road and Mt Albert Road; Mt Albert Road and Pah Road; Mt Albert and the Royal Oak roundabout.
N13-032	b	Objection A Black Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Change the boundary in another area of the electorate.
N13-034	b	Objection A Younger Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Move population instead from Balmoral, an area with connections to Mt Roskill schools.
N13-035	b	Objection Y Xie Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Retain the existing boundaries.
N13-036	b, h	Objection J Dobson Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Keep the area between Watling Avenue and Landscape Road in Epsom. The area by Greenwoods Corner could be moved into Mt Roskill or Maungakiekie and the north eastern area of the proposed Epsom electorate should be moved into Tāmaki.
N13-037	b	Objection G Thomson Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Balance electoral numbers by altering boundaries with Tāmaki.
N13-038	b	Objection J Lothian Similar to N13-030
		Suggested solution: Boundary changes with Tāmaki, Maungakiekie or perhaps Mt Albert could have less disruption.
N13-040	b	Objection P Long Objects to the inclusion of part of Epsom in the Mt Roskill electorate. The area's connections and the facilities used are with Epsom, Mt Eden and Newmarket and it shares the same issues with these areas, not Mt Roskill. Would like to be represented by an MP who knows about the local area.

Suggested solution: Keep the boundary as Landscape Road. Keep the New Windsor area in Mt Roskill or move a smaller number of excess New Windsor dwellings into New Lynn.

N13-067

b, h

Objection

Similar to N13-066

N13-041 b, h Objection A Long Similar to N13-040 Suggested solution: Similar to N13-040 E Chuk N13-052 b Objection Similar to N13-002 Suggested solution: Alter the building of apartments in certain areas to ensure population stays relative. N13-054 b, h Objection D Sharp Objects to Landscape Road being moved from the Epsom electorate as their communications and community of interest are with Epsom. Suggested solution: Keep 2 to 52 Landscape Road in Epsom. Review the Epsom boundaries in the north or east. N13-055 b, n, h Objection **G McKeown** Objects to the area below Watling Street and Onslow Avenue being excluded from the Epsom electorate. The area to be excluded is a long standing part of Epsom, and topographical features show the area faces towards their community of interest Epsom. Suggested solution: The area north of Landscape Road should remain in the Epsom electorate. Also consider a name change for Epsom and Tāmaki such as 'Epsom-Hobson' or 'Tāmaki-Orakei'. N13-060 b Objection **B Smith, Greenwoods Corner Group** Similar to N13-024 Suggested solution: Retain the existing Epsom boundary. N13-064 b Objection R Harden Objects to the area of south Epsom moving to the Mt Roskill electorate as the community is not connected to that area. The two electorates are diverse and culturally different from each other. Topographical features also link this area with Epsom. Suggested solution: Consider moving the boundaries in other areas of the Epsom electorate. N13-065 b Objection D Nalden Objects to the movement of parts of the Epsom electorate to Mt Roskill as it is a cohesive community that shares similar concerns and population trends are hard to predict. Suggested solution: Retain the existing boundaries.

Suggested solution: Keep the existing Landscape Road and Selwyn Road boundary. Also include the north facing section of St Andrews Road between Landscape Road and Selwyn Roads to the Atalanga property in Epsom.

South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)

N13-068 b,h **Objection C Wildermoth and 37 others**

Petition objecting to the area adjacent to One Tree Hill moving to the Mt Roskill electorate as the community is not connected to that area.

Suggested solution: That Manukau Road serve as the boundary between Epsom and Mt Roskill.

N13-116 b, h Objection N Turnbull, Onehunga Primary School Board of Trustees

Objects to splitting Onehunga High School from the electorate that the school community is linked to. The schools in the area have a shared community. Onehunga is a village community that should remain intact in the electoral boundaries.

Suggested solution: Similar to N13-114

N17 Maungakiekie

N17-008 b Objection J Copeland

Objects to the split of Panmure away from the similar communities of Point England and Glen Innes.

Suggested solution: Keep Stonefields with Mt Wellington and move the boundary south to Lagoon Drive.

N23 Papakura

N23-004 b, h Objection B McEntee, Papakura Local Board

Similar to N23-003

N23-005 b **Objection R and S Coles**

Similar to N23-003

N23-006 b, h Objection Sir J Walker and C Penrose, Auckland Council – Manurewa-Papakura Ward Councillors

Similar to N23-003

N29 Bay of Plenty

N29-001 b, n **Objection L Turner**

Objects to the proposed boundaries between Tauranga and Bay of Plenty electorates and their names.

Suggested solution: Have both electorates based around the city, one based on each side of the harbour and including Te Puke.

These electorates should be re-named to reflect their communities better, 'Tauranga Moana' and 'Mauao'. Alternatively rename Bay of Plenty 'Matakana'.

N33 Taranaki-King Country

N33-019 b **Objection J Hall**

Objects to the proposed split of Egmont National Park between Taranaki-King County, New Plymouth and Whanganui. The park should have one member of Parliament responsible for it.

Suggested solution: Egmont National Park to be wholly within one electorate, preferably Taranaki-King Country or New Plymouth.

N33-022 b, h Objection The Temple View Residents' Association

Similar to N33-001

N44 Rimutaka

N44-003 b **Objection J Cronin**

Objects to the inclusion of Normandale within the Rimutaka electorate as its community of interest is with Korokoro, Maungaraki and the Petone/Alicetown area.

Suggested solution: Move Normandale into Hutt South and to balance the electorate quotas move those parts of Avalon not in Rimutaka and parts of Boulcott and Epuni into Rimutaka.

N46 Hutt South

N46-002 b **Objection R McLennan**

Objects to the proposed boundary between Rimutaka and Hutt South dividing a small number of properties away from the rest of their Normandale community.

Suggested solution: Move 183 and 185 Miromiro Road to the Rimutaka electorate. A more logical division of Hutt South and Rimutaka would be around Naenae, Fairway Drive and Kelson and Belmont. Alternatively, include Korokoro in Hutt South and both Maungaraki and Normandale in Rimutaka.

S05 Christchurch East

S05-001 b, h **Objection S K Laing**

Objects to the inclusion of Mairehau in Christchurch East. Connections are with Papanui and Merivale, not the east of the city. The area is in the Shirley Papanui ward.

S05-002 b, n, h Objection J M Laing

Similar to S05-001

Suggested solution: Change the name for Christchurch Central to 'Avon' or 'Hagley'.

S08 Port Hills

S08-004 b, h **Objection G Jones**

Similar to S08-003.

Suggested solution: Similar to S08-003.

S08-019 b, n, h Objection H Ring

Supports Halswell being moved to Port Hills. Objects to Port Hills as it is an inaccurate description of the electorate.

Suggested solution: Name the electorate 'Banks Peninsula'.

S10 Selwyn

S10-006 b, h

Objection New Zealand Labour Party and 180 signatories

Petition objecting to the inclusion of the suburbs of Broomfield, Islington, Masham and Hei Hei in Selwyn, removing their link with Wigram. These have no historic ties to Selwyn.

The Labour Party also objects to:

The inclusion of Halswell, Oaklands, Westlake and Aidanfield in Port Hills. These fast growing areas should keep their links with Prebbleton and Lincoln in Selwyn.

The inclusion of Bromley in Christchurch East, splitting it from adjacent Woolston in Port Hills. These two suburbs are closely integrated communities.

Suggested solution: Keep Broomfield, Islington and Hei Hei in Wigram.

Unite Halswell, Oaklands, Westlake and Aidanfield, perhaps by using Halswell Road as the boundary.

Unite Bromley and Woolston, connecting the majority of the red zone within Christchurch East, with the boundary following the Heathcote River to Brougham Street.

Dated at Wellington this 23rd day of January 2014. B. J. KENDALL, Chairman of the Representation Commission.

