1705



# New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 14 JUNE 2007

WELLINGTON: THURSDAY, 14 JUNE 2007 — ISSUE NO. 65

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED

BOUNDARIES OF

ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

OF NEW ZEALAND

#### Objections to Proposed Boundaries of Electoral Districts of New Zealand

The Representation Commission appointed pursuant to section 28 of the Electoral Act 1993 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of section 38 of that Act, a summary of the objections lodged with the Commission to the proposed boundaries of the electoral districts, as set out in the Schedule hereto.

The full objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Chief Electoral Office, Level 9, Sovereign House, 180 Molesworth Street, Wellington from Thursday 14 June 2007 until Thursday 28 June 2007. Those requiring photocopies of the full objections may incur photocopying charges. Copies of objection summaries are available for viewing at the places set out in the Schedule hereto.

Counter objections to objections can be made in writing to the Representation Commission if in the hands of the Commission no later than Thursday 28 June 2007. Late counter-objections i.e. those received after Thursday 28 June 2007, cannot be considered.

Counter-objections may be lodged either:

by post addressed to The Secretary

Representation Commission

PO Box 3220 Wellington

or by facsimile to Facsimile Number (04) 495 0031

or by email <u>representation.commission@justice.govt.nz</u>

or by hand delivery

Chief Electoral Office

before 5.00pm Level 9

Sovereign House 180 Molesworth Street

Wellington

All counter-objections are requested to clearly state:

- (i) the name, address and telephone contact number (day and night) of the counterobjector,
- (ii) the electorate(s) to which the counter-objection applies,
- (iii) the specific objection(s) the counter-objection applies to, using where possible the objection numbers allocated by the Commission,
- (iv) the grounds of the counter-objection.
- (v) the solution suggested by the counter-objector

The Commission requests that counter-objectors indicate whether they wish to speak briefly to their counter-objections before meetings of the Commission to be held in Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, and possibly other locations as required in July 2007.

Full details of each counter-objection must be in writing. Whether or not a counter-objector is heard, all counter-objections will be fully considered by the Commission. However, late counter-objections cannot be considered.

A separate counter-objection should be filed in respect of each separate objection which you oppose.

A suggested format for counter-objections is available at the places listed in the Schedule hereto.

Dated this 14th day of June 2007.

Judge BJ Kendall, Chairman Representation Commission.

#### **Schedule**

#### **Schedule of Places for Inspection**

#### General and Māori Electorates

- 1. The offices of all regional councils, district councils, city councils and council service centres.
- 2. The offices of all Registrars of Electors.
- 3. Te Puni Kōkiri (Regional Development Offices) at Whangarei, Auckland, Hamilton, Tauranga, Whakatane, Rotorua, Taumarunui, New Plymouth, Gisborne, Hastings, Wanganui, Palmerston North, Levin, Featherston, Lower Hutt, Blenheim, Christchurch, Dunedin and Invercargill.
- 4. Māori Land Court Offices at Whangarei, Papatoetoe, Hamilton, Rotorua, Gisborne, Hastings, Turangi, Wanganui, Wellington and Christchurch.
- 5. Land Information New Zealand offices (LINZ) at Auckland, Hamilton, Wellington, Christchurch and Dunedin.
- 6. The offices of Rūnanga (iwi offices) at Bay of Plenty, Blenheim, Bluff, Chatham Islands, Christchurch, Coromandel, Dannevirke, Dunedin, Gisborne, Gore, Hamilton, Hawera, Hokitika, Horowhenua, Invercargill, Kaikohe, Kaitaia, Kawakawa, Kawerau, Kawhia, Levin, Lower Hutt, Marlborough, Marton, Moera, Mt Maunganui, Murupara, North Canterbury, North Otago, Northland, Oakura, Opotiki, Otago, Otaki, Paeroa, Palmerston North, Picton, Porirua, Reporoa, Rotorua, Ruatoria, Tauranga, Te Kaha, Temuka, Timaru, Upper Hutt, Waimate, Wellington, Whakarewarewa, Whanganui, Whakatane, Whangarei.
- 7. Public libraries at Akaroa, Alexandra, Amberley, Ashburton, Ashhurst, Auckland, Balclutha, Blenheim, Bluff, Bulls, Cambridge, Cannons Creek, Carterton, Chatham Islands, Cheviot, Christchurch, Clyde, Cromwell, Culverden, Dannevirke, Darfield, Dargaville, Diamond Harbour, Dunedin, Eketahuna, Eltham, Fairlie, Featherston, Feilding, Foxton, Geraldine, Gisborne, Gore, Greta Valley, Greymouth, Greytown, Hamilton, Hampden, Hanmer Springs, Hastings, Havelock North, Hawarden, Hawera, Helensville, Henderson, Hokitika, Howick, Huapai, Huntly, Hutt City, Inglewood, Invercargill, Kaiapoi, Kaikohe, Kaikoura, Kaitaia, Kaiwaka, Kamo, Kaponga, Katikati, Kawakawa, Kawerau, Kerikeri, Lawrence, Leeston, Levin, Little River, Lower Hutt, Lumsden, Lyttelton, Manaia, Mangakino, Mangawhai, Mangere, Mangere Bridge, Mangere East, Manukau City, Manurewa, Martinborough, Marton, Massey, Masterton, Matamata, Mataura, Maungaturoto, Milton, Morrinsville, Mosgiel, Motueka, Mt Maunganui, Murchison, Napier, Nelson, New Lynn, New Plymouth, Ngaruawahia, Ngatea, Nightcaps, North Shore City, Northcote, Oakura, Oamaru, Ohakune, Omarama, Onerahi, Opotiki, Opunake, Orewa, Otaki, Otautau, Otematata, Otorohanga, Owaka, Oxford, Paeroa, Pahiatua, Paihia, Pakuranga, Palmerston North, Papamoa, Paparoa, Paraparaumu, Patea, Picton, Porirua, Porirua City, Port Chalmers, Pukekohe, Putaruru, Queenstown, Raetihi, Raglan, Ranfurly, Rangiora, Reefton, Riverton, Rolleston, Rotorua, Roxburgh, Shannon, Stewart Island, Stratford, Taihape, Takaka, Takapuna, Tapanui, Taradale, Taumarunui, Taupo, Tauranga, Te Anau, Te Aroha, Te Awamutu, Te Kauwhata, Te Kuiti, Te Puke, Temuka, Thames, Timaru, Tirau, Tokoroa, Tuakau, Turangi, Twizel, Upper Hutt, Urenui, Waiheke Island, Waihi, Waihi Beach, Waikanae, Waikouaiti, Waimate, Wainuiomata, Waipawa, Waipukurau, Wairoa, Waitakere City, Waiuku, Wanaka, Whanganui, Waverley, Wellington, Wellsford, Westport, Whakatane, Whangamata, Whangaparaoa, Whangarei, Whitby, Whitianga, Winton, Woodville, Wyndham.

#### **Notes:**

- Summarised objections have been allocated under the proposed electorate where the area specified in the objection is located. For example, if an objector stated an objection to, say, Pyes Pa being located in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate OR objected to Pyes Pa not being in the Rotorua Electorate then in both cases the objection has been assigned to the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.
- It is recommended that people interested in a particular electorate should also look at objections relating to neighbouring electorates as these could affect the electorate they are concerned with.

Abbreviations used: b relates to an objection to a boundary

n relates to an objection to a name h objector has requested a hearing

#### Electoral District and Nature of Objection

#### N01 Northland

#### N01 001 b Objection Far North District Council

Objects to the boundary of the proposed Northland electorate on the grounds of population growth, geographic size, communication facilities and community of interest.

Anticipated growth on the east coast of Northland may vary considerably from the projected population figures given.

Also, Northland is a predominantly rural electorate that now includes two urban areas. Given the geographic size and the communication facilities of the electorate, this will make it difficult for any MP to effectively represent the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary.

#### N08 Te Atatu

#### N08 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Te Atatu electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Te Atatū'.

#### N09 Waitakere

#### N09 001 b Objection B L Pillay, MP – Waitakere

Objects to the inclusion of Muriwai in the proposed Waitakere electorate. Muriwai is isolated by topography and transport facilities from the rest of the electorate and is part of the Rodney District, rather than Waitakere City.

Suggested solution Do not include Muriwai in the Waitakere electorate.

#### N09 002 b Objection Hon Chris Carter, MP – Te Atatu

Objects to the inclusion of the northern part of the suburb of Sunnyvale in the proposed Waitakere electorate. This suburb shares a community of interest with Henderson and the Te Atatu electorate.

Suggested solution Run the boundary with the Te Atatu electorate along Railside Avenue, View Road, James Laurie Street, and along Great Northern Road to the boundary with Sabulite Road.

# N10 New Lynn

#### N10 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**

Objects to the name 'New Lynn' for the proposed electorate as the New Lynn area is no longer central to the electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Titirangi'.

#### N12 Mt Roskill

#### N12 001 b, h Objection B P Dove

Objects the inclusion of parts of Blockhouse Bay in the proposed Mt Roskill electorate, specifically Donovan Street, Lewis Street, Shipton Place, Francis Curtis Drive, Eleanor Place and Lynbrooke Avenue. These streets share a strong community of interest and their facilities of communication and transport with the rest of Blockhouse Bay.

Suggested solution Place these streets in the New Lynn electorate.

#### N15 Tamaki

## N15 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Tamaki electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Tāmaki'.

N15 002 n Objection G M Papuni

Similar to N15/001

Suggested solution Similar to N15/001

#### N15 003 b, h Objection New Zealand National Party – Northern Region

Objects to the inclusion of the area bounded by Michaels Avenue in the west and Jellicoe Road in the east, up to the current Maungakiekie –Tamaki electorate boundary, in the proposed Tamaki electorate. This area includes all of the Stanhope Road School catchment area, and is topographically isolated from the rest of the electorate. It shares a community of interest with the Maungakiekie electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary in this area.

# N16 Maungakiekie

N16 001 b, h Objection New Zealand National Party – Northern Region

Objects to the inclusion of the area of eastern Otahuhu bounded by Great South Road, Atkinson Road and Princes Street, in the proposed Maungakiekie electorate.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Manukau East electorate.

# N17 Pakuranga

N17 001 b Objection J S Peters

Objects to the inclusion of Panmure and Glen Innes in the proposed Pakuranga electorate. They fall within the established administrative boundaries of Auckland City, whereas other areas in the Pakuranga electorate are part of Manukau City.

Suggested solution Consider a new electorate along the Eastern Corridor.

N17 002 b Objection J Victorino

Similar to N17/001

Suggested solution None given.

N17 003 b Objection J E Weldon

Similar to N17/001

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.

N17 004 b Objection G Webster & H Razak

Similar to N17/001

Also objects on the grounds of geographical features as the Tamaki Estuary forms a natural boundary.

Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.

N17 005 b Objection Pakuranga Community Board

Similar to N17/004

The proposed boundary also disrupts a long established distinct community of interest on the Howick edge of the electorate, and does not allow for future population growth around the Pakuranga Town Centre.

Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.

#### N17 006 b Objection M D Palmer

Similar to N17/004.

Objects to the proposed boundary as it does not comply with the statutory criteria for drawing electorates:

- Panmure and Glen Innes have little community of interest with Pakuranga.
- The Tamaki Estuary acts as a natural topographical break between Pakuranga and Panmure/Glen Innes.
- The only communication and transport links across the estuary are two bridges.

Suggested solution Redraw the boundaries in line with the Electoral Act criteria. Two mapped options provided.

This could be done by removing the area to the west of the Panmure Bridge and substituting an area from the west of the proposed Howick electorate. The Howick electorate could then be expanded eastwards to include the Whitford, Maraetai and Beachlands communities, which have a longstanding association with Howick.

#### Or

Include the areas of St Heliers and St Johns, rather than Panmure and Glen Innes, as they have a stronger shared community of interest with the Pakuranga electorate.

N17	007	b	Objection	M Bunge-Krueger
			Similar to N17	7/001.
			Suggested solı	ution None given.
N17	800	b, h	Objection	E Dillimore-Muir
			Similar to N17	7/004.
			Suggested solı	ution Similar to N17/003.
N17	009	b	Objection	A C Power
			Similar to N17	7/004.
			Suggested solı	ution Similar to N17/003.
N17	010	b	Objection	L D Galpin
			Similar to N17	7/004.
			Suggested solı	ution Similar to N17/003.
N17	011	b, h	Objection	C O Jordan
			Similar to N17	7/004.
			Suggested solı	ution Similar to N17/003.
N17	012	b, h	Objection	D E Wilson

Similar to N17/004.

Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.

N17	013 <i>b</i>	Objection R A Smyth
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Use the Tamaki River as the south-eastern boundary for a new electorate called 'Maungarei'. This electorate would have boundaries that included the areas of St Heliers, Glendowie, Glen Innes, St Johns, Point England, Lake Park, Tamaki, Mt Wellington, Sylvia Park and Otahuhu.
N17	014 <i>b</i>	Objection M Colquhoun
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	015 <i>b, l</i>	Objection S Henry, The Housing Lobby
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Place Glen Innes, Point England and Panmure in the Tamaki electorate.
N17	016 <i>b, l</i>	Objection N J Barclay
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	017 <i>b</i>	Objection P N Martin
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	018 <i>b, l</i>	Objection G J Pellew
		Similar to N17/005
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/005
N17	019 <i>b, l</i>	Objection B R Warren
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	020 b	Objection R A Barfoote
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	021 <i>b</i>	Objection G S Gray
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution The Panmure area should be part of an Auckland City based electorate and the Pakuranga area part of a Manukau City based electorate.
N17	022 b	Objection G B & K S Moore
		Similar to N17/004.
		Notes that the population of the existing electorate does not require a change to boundaries.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	023 b	Objection T Doherty
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.

N17	024	b	Objection J Laing
			Similar to N17/004.
			Suggested solution None given.
N17	025	b	Objection S McMurray
			Similar to N17/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	026	b, h	Objection D J Catley
			Similar to N17/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	027	b, n	Objection D Rose
			Similar to N17/005.
			Also objects to the name 'Pakuranga' for the proposed electorate as the name does not fully represent the electorate.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
			The Tamaki electorate boundary could be moved south into Maungakiekie and the Howick electorate boundary moved east to include Whitford, Maraetai and Beachlands.
			The Pakuranga electorate could be renamed 'Ohuiarangi' after the volcanic cone at its centre.
N17	028	b, h	Objection Manukau City Council
			Similar to N17/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	029	b	Objection H L & J M Simkin
			Similar to N17/004.
			Suggested solution None given.
N17	030	b	Objection A Ho
			Similar to N17/005.
			Suggested solution Retain the existing Pakuranga electorate as much as possible. Glen Innes, Panmure and Point England should remain in the Tamaki electorate and Sylvia Park in the Maungakiekie electorate. Include Highland Park, Howick and Mellons Bay in the Pakuranga electorate. Include Cockle Bay, Shelly Park and Burswood in the proposed Howick electorate. Beachlands and Maraetai might also be included. To better reflect the new centre of this electorate, it should be called 'Botany' or 'Botany-Beachlands'. Further adjustments to maintain quotas in neighbouring electorates suggested.
N17	031	b	Objection J C Mullane
			Similar to N17/004.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	032	b	Objection G E Kirk
			Similar to N17/004.

 ${\it Suggested solution \ Similar \ to \ N17/003}.$ 

N17	033 b	Objection W Thrupp
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	034 b,	h Objection N Morrison & J Ross, Councillors – Manukau City
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	035 b,	<ul> <li>Objection S Warren, Chairman – Bucklands &amp; Eastern</li> <li>Beaches Ratepayers &amp; Residents Association Inc</li> </ul>
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	036 b	Objection A Gautier
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution None given.
N17	037 b	Objection R N Burgham
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	038 b	Objection M N Burgham
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	039 b	Objection S C Burgham
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	040 b	Objection NV & B F Holyoake
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	041 b	Objection I Fox, Principal – Bucklands Beach Intermediate
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	042 b,	h Objection C R Mikkelson
		Similar to N17/005.
		Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	043 b	Objection B & J Jones
		Objects to the western boundary of the proposed Pakuranga electorate as this does not follow natural divisions in the landscape.
		Suggested solution Use natural boundaries, such as the Tamaki Estuary and Mangemangeroa Creek, for electorate boundaries.
N17	044 b	Objection T C & W I Hickmott
		Similar to N17/004.
		Suggested solution That the Pakuranga electorate include only the suburbs of Half Moon Bay, Pakuranga, Bucklands Beach and Howick.

N17	045	b	Objection V D Robinson
			Similar to N17/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003.
N17	046	b	Objection L A Smith
			Similar to N17/001.
			Suggested solution Increase the population quota tolerance.
N17	047	b	Objection M & B de Valk
			Objects to the inclusion of the peninsula of Bucklands Beach and Eastern Beach in the proposed Pakuranga electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Howick.
			Suggested solution Include this area in the Howick electorate.
N17	048	n	Objection A Beyer
			Objects to the name 'Pakuranga' for the proposed electorate. The name does not reflect the diversity of the electorate.
			Suggested solution Rename the Pakuranga electorate 'Tamaki'. The current 'Tamaki' electorate could be renamed 'Kohimarama' or 'Eastern Bays'.
N17	049	b, n, h	Objection R Northey, Councillor – Auckland City Council
			Similar to N17/048.
			Objects to the inclusion of Eastern Beach and surrounds in the proposed Pakuranga electorate and to the boundary with the Maungakiekie electorate that divides the suburb of Panmure.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/048.
			Move the south-western boundary to Barrack Road, so that all of Panmure is included in the Pakuranga electorate. Move the north-western boundary to exclude Eastern Beach and possibly Bucklands Beach.
N17	050	b	Objection Macleans College – Principal & Board of Trustees
			Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Pakuranga electorate as these divide the catchment zone for the school across more than one electorate.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
N17	051	b	Objection R C & I Peters
			Similar to N17/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N17/044.
N17	052	b	Objection S M Schollum
			Objects to the inclusion of the area north of Point England Road in the proposed Pakuranga electorate as this leaves only one narrow road link for the area included in the proposed Tamaki electorate with the southern half of its electorate.
			Suggested solution Move the proposed boundary of West Tamaki

Suggested solution Move the proposed boundary of West Tamaki Road south to Point England Road. This would also reunite the suburbs of Glen Innes and St Johns, which share a community of interest. To compensate for the increased population, Ellerslie could be placed in the Maungakiekie electorate.

N17	053	n, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
			Similar to N1	7/048.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to N17/048.
N17	054	b, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
			Howick elect represented b	e boundary between the proposed Pakuranga and orates. Local community of interest is better by school enrolment zone boundaries than by the y Council Ward boundaries.
			secondary scl	lution As much as possible, run the boundary along hool enrolment zone boundaries, using the Pakuranga dary to the intersection of Botany and Cascades Roads.
N17	055	b	Objection	Sir B Curtis, Mayor – Manukau City Council
			Similar to N1	7/005.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to N17/003.
N17	056	b, n, h	Objection	L J Boyle, Councillor – Auckland City Council
			Similar to N1	7/049.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to N17/049.
N17	057	b, h	Objection	New Zealand National Party – Northern Region
			Similar to N1	7/052.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to N17/052.
N17	058	b, h	Objection	M Williams, Chairman - Botany Community Board
			Similar to N1	7/005.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to N17/003.

#### N18 Howick

#### N18 001 b Objection C & P Smith

Supports the boundaries of the proposed Howick electorate, particularly the use of the Mangemangeroa Stream/Estuary as the eastern boundary.

Does not support the submission of Manukau City Council (N17/028) that would split the Howick area to retain the current Pakuranga electorate boundaries, as this does not allow for the strong community of interest within Howick.

#### N18 002 b, h Objection New Zealand National Party – Northern Region

Objects to the inclusion of the area to the west of Hutchinsons Road, Bleakhouse Road and Mellons Bay Road in the proposed Howick electorate. Topography and the school catchment boundaries of Pakuranga and Howick link this area to Pakuranga.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Pakuranga electorate.

N'	19			Manukau	East
N1	9	001	n	Objection	B R Costley
				-	name 'Manukau East' for the proposed electorate as is no longer in the east of Manukau City.
				Suggested solu	ution Name the electorate 'Manukau' or 'Otara'.
N1	9	002	b, h	Objection	B Mockridge
				Council across	splitting of the Botany Ward of Manukau City s four electorates, and particularly to the inclusion of ard in the proposed Manukau East electorate.
				If the Pakuran of the Botany	ga electorate retains its existing boundary, then most Ward could be included in the Howick electorate. The electorate could then include the whole of Otahuhu, ed 'Otahuhu'.
N1	9	003	b	Objection	NZ Labour Party – Botany Downs Branch
				Supports the b	oundaries for the proposed Manukau East electorate.
N1	9	004	b, h	Objection	Manukau East Labour Electorate Committee
				East electorate interest of Pap	najority of the boundaries of the proposed Manukau e. However, would prefer the historic community of patoetoe to be maintained by extending the boundary th of Portage Road.
N1	9	005	b, h	Objection	New Zealand National Party – Northern Region
				Chapel Road i	inclusion of the area between Te Irirangi Road and in the proposed Manukau East electorate. This area g community of interest with Howick and the rest of ea.
				Suggested solu	ution Include this area in the Howick electorate.
N1	9	006	b, h	Objection	M Williams, Chairman – Botany Community Board
				Similar to N19	9/002.
				a . 1 1	1100 1 1 01

Suggested solution Proposes a different approach to drafting electoral boundaries based around community of interest and topography. This would allow, for example:

- a 'Howick/Botany' electorate;
- Glen Innes and Panmure to be in the Maungakiekie electorate;
- Manurewa to be kept in the Manurewa electorate;
- Otahuhu to be in the Papatoetoe electorate; and
- Otara to be in a 'South Auckland' electorate.

N20			Mangere
N20	001	b	Objection B R William
			Objects to the inclusion of the area on the eastern side of Mangere Road and Buckland Road in the proposed Mangere electorate. This area's community of interest lies within the Manukau East electorate.
			Suggested solution Extend the Manukau East electorate boundary along the eastern side of Mangere Road and the northern side of Buckland Road as far as Mangere.
N20	002	b	Objection I McGechie
			Objects to the proposed boundary between the Mangere and Manukau East electorates in the area around Kohuora Park.
			Suggested solution Run the boundary with the Manukau East electorate along Portage Road between State Highway 20 and the North Island Main Trunk Line.
N20	003	b	Board of Trustees, Kedgley Intermediate School
			Similar to N20/002.
			Suggested solution Similar to N20/002.
N20	004	n	Objection G R Seymour
			Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Mangere electorate.
			Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Mangere'.
N20	005	b	Objection Papatoetoe Community Board
			Similar to N20/002.
			Suggested solution Similar to N20/002.
N20	006	b	Objection M S Mathiasen
			Similar to N20/002.
			Objects to the division of Papatoetoe between two electorates.
			Suggested solution Similar to N20/002.

# N21 Manurewa

#### N21 001 b Objection G J Fryer

Objects to the inclusion of the Mission Heights subdivision in the proposed Manurewa electorate. Neighbouring areas are included in the Howick electorate, where this subdivision's community of interest also lies.

Suggested solution Include Mission Heights in the Howick electorate.

#### N21 002 b Objection S T & T-M Woodward

Similar to N21/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N21/001.

#### N21 003 b A Carberry & 424 others Objection Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Manurewa electorate as they do not align with the primary community of interest of the Manurewa area, which is based on the suburbs of Manurewa, Clendon, Weymouth, Wattle Downs, Greenmeadows and Randwick Park. Suggested solution Transfer Flat Bush and the rural areas around Murphys Road to the Howick electorate. Transfer Weymouth, Wattle Downs, Greenmeadows, Randwick Park and other residential areas north of the Papakura Creek and south of Weymouth Road from the Papakura electorate to the Manurewa electorate. N21 004 b Objection **G Cornwell** Objects to the inclusion of Manara Place in the proposed Manurewa electorate. Suggested solution Include this street in either the Papakura or the Hunua electorate. N21 005 b Objection I Marshall Similar to N21/001. Also objects to the inclusion of Regis Park, Toplands and Norwood in the proposed Manurewa electorate. Suggested solution Include these areas in the Hunua electorate. To compensate, areas around Hingaia and Drury could be moved into the Manurewa electorate. N21 006 b.h Objection W J F Marshall Objects to the weight being placed on community of interest by other objectors. Suggested solution Adopt the proposed boundaries. GJ&TAshby N21 007 b Objection Similar to N21/001. Suggested solution Similar to N21/001. N21 008 b, h Objection **D J Forsyth** Similar to N21/001. Suggested solution Similar to N21/001. Objection N21 009 b, h F M Forsyth Similar to N21/001. Suggested solution Similar to N21/001. N21 010 b Objection R J Bootle, JP

Objects to the inclusion of southern Botany in the proposed Manurewa electorate.

Suggested solution Include this area in an electorate with the rest of Botany and Howick.

#### N21 011 b Objection L Tupu & 129 others

Objects to the inclusion of the area bounded by Ormiston Road, Te Irirangi Drive, Hollyford Drive and Redoubt Road in the proposed Manurewa electorate. These areas share a community of interest with the rest of the Botany area.

Suggested solution Include this area in an electorate with the rest of Botany.

#### N21 012 b Objection R L Pease

Objects to the inclusion of the rural area around Tiffany Close in the proposed Manurewa electorate.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Hunua electorate by running the boundary along Mill Road down to the Redoubt Road extension.

#### N21 013 b, h Objection Manurewa Community Board

Similar to N21/003.

Also objects to the inclusion of the Flat Bush community and the area around Jeffs Road in the proposed Manurewa electorate, as they do not share a community of interest with the rest of the electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to N21/003.

Include these areas in the Papakura electorate.

#### N21 014 b Objection D Nakhle

Similar to N21/013.

Suggested solution Similar to N21/013.

To compensate, the area south of Weymouth Road could be included in the Manurewa electorate where its community of interest lies.

#### N22 Hunua

#### N22 001 b Objection A Churchill

Objects to the inclusion of Beachlands and Maraetai in the proposed Hunua electorate. These areas' community of interest lies with Howick, Botany and Manukau City, not with Pukekohe.

Suggested solution None given.

#### N22 002 b Objection N J & H A Wagstaff

Similar to N22/001.

Also notes that the hills south of Beachlands/Maraetai form a natural boundary with the rest of the Hunua electorate, and that these communities have different telephone services to that electorate.

Suggested solution Include Beachlands/Maraetai in the Howick electorate.

#### N22 003 *n* **Objection P & S Baker**

Objects to the name 'Hunua' for the proposed electorate. The proposed boundaries are very similar to the historic 'Franklin' electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Franklin'.

N22	004 b, h	Objection J S Robertson, Mayor – Papakura District Council
		Objects to the inclusion of the Hingaia region in the proposed Hunua electorate as its community of interest lies with the Papakura electorate.
		Suggested solution Include Hingaia in the Papakura electorate.
N22	005 b	Objection L & J Kemp
		Similar to N22/001.
		Suggested solution Similar to N22/001.
N22	006 b, n	Objection D M H Senn
		Similar to N22/003 and N22/004.
N22	007 b, n	Suggested solution Similar to N22/003 and N22/004.  Objection A J A Cooper
		Similar to N22/001 and N22/003.
		Also objects to the positioning of the southern boundary of the electorate.
		Suggested solution Similar to N22/003.
		Use the Waikato River as a boundary from its mouth to Mercer. Then follow the southern boundary of Franklin District to the eastern coast.
N22	008 <i>n</i>	Objection G R Seymour
		Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Hunua electorate.
		Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Hūnua'.
N22	009 b, h	Objection B Mockridge
		Objects to the inclusion of the Clevedon Ward of Manukau City in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Papakura. Also, transport and communication facilities link this area with Papakura rather than Pukekohe.
		Suggested solution Move the Ward into the Papakura electorate. Rosehill, Opaheke, Papakura South, Red Hill and part of Drury could be moved into the Hunua electorate. Areas south of Weymouth Road could return to the Manurewa electorate.
N22	010 <i>b</i>	Objection D Rose
		Similar to N22/001.
		Suggested solution Similar to N22/002.
N22	011 <i>b, h</i>	Objection Manukau City Council
		Similar to N22/001.
		Suggested solution Similar to N22/002.
		Set the electoral boundary for the Howick electorate at a point within the Flat Bush area that gives the appropriate population.
N22	012 b, h	Objection I W McDougall
		Similar to N22/004.

Suggested solution Similar to N22/004.

#### N22 013 b Objection G A Leggat

Similar to N22/001.

Suggested solution Run the northern boundary of the Hunua electorate along Jeffs Road, across to Ormiston Road, then northeast to Sandstone Road and Whitford Park Road, south to Ara-Kotinga Road, then east to follow the line of hills to the east of Maraetai.

#### N22 014 b, h Objection Pohutukawa Coast Community Association Inc.

Similar to N22/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N22/002.

To balance population quotas, East Tamaki Heights could be moved into either the Manukau East or the Manurewa electorate.

#### N22 015 b Objection B Twigden

Objects to the inclusion of Whitford in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities are shared with Howick.

Suggested solution Include Whitford in the Howick electorate.

#### N22 016 *n* **Objection N Morris**

Similar to N22/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N22/003. Details the historic significance of the name 'Franklin' for the area included in the electorate boundaries.

#### N22 017 b, h Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the inclusion of the Turanga census area unit, encompassing the Whitford area, in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest lies with the Howick electorate.

Suggested solution Include the whole of the Turanga census area unit in the Howick electorate.

# N23 Papakura

#### N23 001 b, h Objection Manukau City Council

Objects to the inclusion of the Wattle Downs/Weymouth area in the proposed Papakura electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities lie with the Manurewa electorate.

Also notes that the Pahurehure Inlet and Papakura Stream form a natural boundary between Manurewa and Papakura.

Suggested solution Include Wattle Downs, Weymouth and the entirety of Clendon in the Manurewa electorate. The northern boundary of the Papakura electorate should be the Pahurehure Inlet and Papakura Stream. Retain the Gardens area in the Manurewa electorate. If needed for population quota, the Goodwood Heights/Jeffs Road area could be moved from the Manurewa electorate.

N23	002	n	Objection A Beyer
			Objects to the name 'Papakura' for the proposed electorate. The proposed electorate includes a number of longstanding, distinctive communities, not all of whom have ties to Papakura.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Takanini'.
N23	003	n, h	Objection New Zealand Labour Party
			Similar to N23/002.
			Suggested solution Similar to N23/002.
N23	004	b, h	Objection Manurewa Community Board
			Similar to N23/001.
			Suggested solution Move this area into the Manurewa electorate, using the Papakura Stream as a natural boundary. To compensate, the electorate's northern boundary could be moved to include suburbs to the east of Mill Road, including Flat Bush.
N24			Piako
N24	001	n	Objection B R Costley
			Objects to the name 'Piako' for the proposed electorate, as the name does not reflect the communities included in the north of the electorate.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Waikato'.
N24	002	n	Objection S & T Stark
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	003	n	Objection M L Gribble
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	004	n, h	Objection M Viggers
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	005	n	Objection D L Boyde
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	006	n	Objection Paul
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	007	n	Objection R R Sherlock
			Similar to N24/001.
			Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.
N24	800	n	Objection P A Jupp
			Similar to N24/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.

#### N24 009 *n* Objection S D Lalich

Similar to N24/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N24/001.

#### N24 010 b, h Objection H Mitchell

Objects to the inclusion of parts of Paparimu in the proposed Piako electorate. Paparimu shares a community of interest and communication facilities with Papakura and Pukekohe.

Suggested solution Use the Upper Mangatawhiri Reservoir and the Mangatawhiri River as a boundary westward to Medway Road.

#### N24 011 b. h Objection J Lawson

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Whaingaroa ward in the proposed Piako electorate. The Whaingaroa Harbour area, including Raglan, shares a community of interest and should not be split.

Suggested solution Include the entirety of the Whaingaroa ward in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.

#### N24 012 b, h Objection I W McDougall

Objects to the inclusion of Tuakau and the surrounding area in the proposed Piako electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities lie with Pukekohe in the Hunua electorate.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Hunua electorate.

## N24 013 b, h Objection T G Follows

Objects to the inclusion of the area around Newell Road in the proposed Piako electorate. This area shares its communication facilities, including roads, and community of interest with Hamilton.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Hamilton East electorate. The boundary could be run down State Highway 1, along Airport Road and up the Waikato River.

#### N25 Hamilton West

#### N25 001 b, h Objection S W Wood, JP

Objects to the inclusion of the rural area to the west of Hamilton City in the proposed Hamilton West electorate. This area shares a community of interest with the rural areas of the Taranaki-King Country electorate, rather than the urban areas of the Hamilton West electorate.

Suggested solution Use the Hamilton City Council boundary as the boundary for the electorate. Include Whatawhata, Te Kowhai and surrounds in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.

#### N25 002 b, h Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Similar to N25/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N25/001.

#### N27 Coromandel

#### N27 001 b, h Objection T L Turner

Supports the boundary for the proposed Coromandel electorate, as it is aligned with the traditional Maori boundary from Te Aroha to Moehau.

## N29 Bay of Plenty

#### N29 001 b Objection B R Costley

Objects to the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate, as the boundary with Tauranga splits a community of interest.

Suggested solution Redraw the Tauranga and Bay of Plenty electorates into an east-west division. One electorate could be based on Tauranga-Otumoetai-Matakana and the other on Mt Maunganui-Welcome Bay-Papamoa. The names 'Tauranga East' and 'Tauranga West' could be used.

#### N29 002 b, h Objection S Russell

Objects the name 'Bay of Plenty' for the proposed electorate. While the name was appropriate for the electorate when it was first established, its boundaries no longer include the eastern and central parts of the Bay of Plenty and the name is no longer appropriate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Western Bay of Plenty'.

#### N30 Rotorua

#### N30 001 b Objection L M Scott

Objects to the inclusion of the Kaimai district, south of State Highway 29 and including Omanawa and McLaren Falls, in the proposed Rotorua electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest and communication facilities, including roads, with the Western Bay of Plenty.

Suggested solution Include the Kaimai district in the Bay of Plenty electorate. If necessary, other areas, such as Maketu, would be more appropriate to move.

#### N30 002 b, h Objection S Russell

Similar to N30/001.

Also objects to the inclusion of the Matahina-Minginui and Murupara areas, as these share a community of interest with the rest of the Whakatane District in the East Coast electorate.

Suggested solution Run the boundary between the Rotorua and Bay of Plenty electorates along the natural boundary from the Mamaku plateau east to State Highway 36 (Pyes Pa Road), north to Te Rerenga stream and downstream to the Kaituna River.

Transfer the Matahina-Minginui and Murupara areas to the East Coast electorate.

#### N30 003 b Objection G N & J G Kehely

Objects to the inclusion of part of the community of Oropi in the proposed Roturua electorate. Two steep gorges separate this area from the rest of the electorate and there is no shared community of interest.

Suggested solution Use the gorge on the Mangorewa River to Maungatoi Road then on to No 3 Road as the boundary.

#### N30 004 b Objection P G & R Allan

Similar to N30/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N30/003.

#### N30 005 b Objection F Kehely

Similar to N30/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N30/003.

#### N30 006 b Objection S R Kehely

Similar to N30/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N30/003.

# N31 Taupo

#### N31 001 *n* **Objection S J Hill**

Objects to the name 'Taupo' for the proposed electorate. While Lake Taupo may be the dominant feature of the electorate, the name is not representative of those areas now included in the north of the electorate, such as Cambridge.

Suggested solution Use a name such as 'South Waikato-King Country' or 'Waikato-King Country', or explore options that reflect the Central North Island.

#### N31 002 b Objection Major (Rtd) D W M Gay M.C.

Objects to the inclusion of Cambridge in the proposed Taupo electorate as the size of the proposed electorate would make it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.

Suggested solution None given.

#### N31 003 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Taupo electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Taupō'.

# N32 Taranaki–King Country

#### N32 001 b, h Objection G M Hockly

Objects to the inclusion of areas of the Waikato in a Taranaki electorate.

Suggested solution Instead of the Taranaki-King Country and Taupo electorates having a north-south shape, re-orient these electorates to have an east-west shape, making a South Waikato electorate and a Taranaki-Taupo electorate.

#### 14 JUNE 2007 NEW ZEALAND GAZETTE, No. 65 N32 002 nObjection **B R Costley** Objects to the name 'Taranaki-King Country' for the proposed electorate, as much of the Taranaki region is no longer included. Suggested solution Change the name to 'King Country' or 'Waitomo'. Objection N32 003 b **Ruapehu District Council** Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Ruapehu District in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate. The District has a unique community of interest and should not be divided. Suggested solution Place all of the Ruapehu District in the Rangitikei electorate. N32 004 b Objection J Ritchie Objects to the inclusion of Raglan in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate, as its community of interest lies with Huntly and Ngaruawahia in the Piako electorate. Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary. N32 005 b, h Objection J Lawson

Objects to the geographic size of the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate. Also objects to the splitting off of Raglan from other areas south of Whaingaroa Harbour with which it shares a community of interest.

Suggested solution Balance population quotas in the electorates from the Waikato to the Rangitikei by moving part of the Taranaki-King Country electorate into the New Plymouth electorate, southern parts of the Taupo electorate into the Taranaki-King Country and Rangitikei electorates, Matamata into the Taupo electorate and the Raglan and Whaingaroa Wards into the Piako electorate.

Or:

Include all of the Whaingaroa Ward in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.

N32 006 b Objection New Plymouth District Council, Stratford District Council, South Taranaki District Council and Taranaki Regional Council

Similar to N32/001.

The councils object to the boundaries of the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate as they do not acknowledge the strong identity and shared community of interest of the Taranaki District. There is no shared community of interest between the areas of Waikato included in the electorate and the Taranaki District.

Suggested solution Rather than split the Taranaki District into two electorates, establish a Taranaki electorate with boundaries along the local government boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. The remainder of the proposed electorate could be included in a Taupo-Waitomo electorate.

#### N32 007 b, h Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Similar to N32/004.

Suggested solution Place Raglan in the Piako electorate. To compensate, move Mangakino into the Taranaki - King Country electorate from the Taupo electorate.

# N34 Whanganui

#### N34 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**

Objects to the name 'Whanganui' for the proposed electorate, as it now includes the entire South Taranaki district.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Waitotara'.

N34 002 b Objection

New Plymouth District Council, Stratford District Council, South Taranaki District Council and Taranaki Regional Council

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Taranaki District in the proposed Whanganui electorate. The Taranaki District has a strong identity and shared community of interest which it does not share with the Whanganui electorate.

Suggested solution Rather than split the Taranaki District into two electorates, establish a Taranaki electorate with boundaries along the local government boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. To compensate, a new Whanganui-King Country electorate could be established and the remainder of the current Taranaki King-Country electorate included in a Taupo-Waitomo electorate.

#### N35 East Coast

#### N35 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**

Objects to the name 'East Coast' for the proposed electorate, as the electorate contains much more than just the eastern coast of the North Island.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Eastland' or 'Waiapu'.

#### N35 002 b, h Objection P Hickson

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the western Bay of Plenty in the proposed East Coast electorate. Their community of interest lies with the rest of the Bay of Plenty.

Suggested solution Redraw adjacent boundaries to keep the Bay of Plenty together.

#### N35 003 b Objection S A Moscrip

Similar to N35/002.

Suggested solution Include Whakatane and Maketu in the Bay of Plenty electorate. If the East Coast electorate requires more population, this could come from the south.

#### N35 004 b, h Objection S Russell

Similar to N35/002.

Suggested solution That areas within the Western Bay of Plenty District that are proposed to be included in the East Coast electorate instead be included in the Rotorua electorate.

#### N35 005 b Objection J P Hindmarsh

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed East Coast electorate. Communication facilities, community of interest and topography are all disrupted by the proposed boundaries.

Suggested solution Review the boundaries along the East Coast of the North Island. The boundary between Wairarapa and Hawke's Bay should follow the Akitio River. Wairoa should be included in the East Coast electorate.

#### N35 006 b, h Objection **E H White**

Similar to N35/005.

Suggested solution Similar to N35/005.

#### N35 007 b Objection A A Ross

Objects to the inclusion of the area west of Opotiki in the proposed East Coast electorate. The proposed boundary shifts the centre of the electorate away from its focal point of Gisborne.

Communication facilities and topography will make the electorate difficult for an MP

to effectively represent.

Suggested solution Amend the boundaries to include Wairoa and exclude the area west of Opotiki.

N36			Napier
N36	001	n	Objection B R Costley
			Objects to the name 'Napier' for the proposed electorate, as the electorate is more than the city area.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Hawke's Bay'.
N36	002	b	Objection A Stevens
			Objects to areas north of the Wharerata Ranges being included in the proposed Napier electorate as their community of interest lies with Gisborne and the East Coast.
			Suggested solution The Wharerata Ranges form a natural boundary.
N36	003	b	Objection Gisborne Chamber of Commerce
			Objects to the inclusion of the southern Gisborne District (the Cook Ward) in the proposed Napier electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest with the East Coast electorate.
			Suggested solution. Include this area in the East Coast electorate

Suggested solution Include this area in the East Coast electorate.

#### N36 004 b.h Objection L F Hall

Similar to N36/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.

#### N36 005 b Objection S B Wallis

Objects to the inclusion of part of the Poverty Bay Flats region in the proposed Napier electorate. This region forms the heart of the East Coast and should be included in its entirety in the East Coast electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.

N36	006	b, h	Objection R J Prebble
			Similar to N36/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	007	b	Objection N R Shanks
			Objects to the use of the Waipaoa River as the northern boundary of the proposed Napier electorate.
			Suggested solution Use the Mohaka River instead.
N36	800	b	Objection D P Playle
			Objects to the inclusion of the Tiniroto District in the proposed Napier electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Gisborne, not Napier.
			Suggested solution Include the Tiniroto District in the East Coast electorate.
N36	009	b	Objection A M Cave
			Objects to the inclusion of Ngatapa in the proposed Napier electorate.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	010	b, h	Objection D Kirkpatrick
			Similar to N36/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	011	b	Objection E F Hall
			Similar to N36/008.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	012	b	Objection D S McDiarmid
			Similar to N36/008.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	013	b	Objection G H McDiarmid
			Similar to N36/008.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/002.
N36	014	b	Objection D M Newman
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	015	b	Objection A Bethell
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution None given.
N36	016	b	Objection B Law
			Similar to N36/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	017	b	Objection W Stevens
			Objects to the inclusion of Patutahi in the proposed Napier electorate when its community of interest lies with Gisborne.
			G

Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.

N36	018	b	Objection J A Roberts
			Similar to N36/017.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	019	b	Objection A C Williams
			Similar to N36/005.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	020	b	Objection A Newman
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	021	b	Objection T W Newman
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	022	b	Objection L Newman
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
			Wairoa could be included in the Napier electorate. This electorate could also include Waipukurau, as its community of interest lies with the Hawke's Bay not Wairarapa.
N36	023	b	Objection W Newman
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	024	b	Objection B Kirkpatrick
			Similar to N36/017.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	025	b, h	Objection J A Kirkpatrick
			Similar to N36/017.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	026	b, h	Objection S Russell
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003. Notes that the boundary between the Gisborne and Wairoa Districts is also the boundary between the Hawke's Bay and Gisborne regions.
N36	027	b, h	Objection H Kirkpatrick
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	028	b, h	Objection D Kirkpatrick
			Similar to N36/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.
N36	029	b	Objection A Milton-Tee
			Similar to N36/005.
			Suggested solution None given.

N36	030	b	Objection	S M A McDowell
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
N36	031	b	Objection	H McDowell
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
N36	032	b	Objection	W Barclay
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
N36	033	b	Objection	N R Barclay
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
N36	034	b, h	Objection	J T Williams
			Similar to N3	6/002.
			Suggested sol	<i>lution</i> Similar to N36/002.
N36	035	b	Objection	H F Williams
			Similar to N3	6/002.
			Suggested sol	<i>lution</i> Similar to N36/002.
N36	036	b, h	Objection	C Bauld, Councillor – Gisborne District Council, elected member – Tairawhiti District Health Board
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
			Increase the p	opulation quota tolerance.
N36	037	b	Objection	J E Sewell
			Similar to N3	6/003.
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to N36/003.
N36	038	b	Objection	M Mackey, MP
			Napier elector	e inclusion of Wairoa and surrounds in the proposed rate. This area shares a community of interest and s with the East Coast.
			District in the	Lution Ideally, include Wairoa and all the Gisborne East Coast electorate. At the least, align the electorate the He Gisborne District Council Boundary.
N36	039	b, h	Objection	Gisborne District Council
			Similar to N3	6/003.

Suggested solution Similar to N36/003.

# N37 Tukituki

# N37 001 *b, n* **Objection J Haydon-Carr, Central Region Representative – Young Labour Executive**

Supports the proposed boundary for the Tukituki electorate. While sympathetic to the concerns of areas such as Waipukurau, believes that the Wairarapa electorate will effectively represent their interests.

Also supports the name 'Tukituki' for the proposed electorate as it reflects the shared identity of communities within the electorate.

## N37 002 b, n Objection Hon Rick Barker, MP

Supports the proposed boundary for the Tukituki electorate.

Regrets the division of the Central Hawke's Bay District across two electorates, but notes that this area and Wairarapa have shared an electorate previously.

Objects to the name 'Tukituki' for the proposed electorate. The Tukituki River is not recognised as part of the regional identity. *Suggested solution* Name the electorate 'Hastings', as it was previously.

# N38 Wairarapa

# N38 001 *b* **Objection** N T Ashby

Objects to the inclusion of Waipukurau in the proposed Wairarapa electorate. This separates Waipukurau from Waipawa with which it shares a community of interest.

Suggested solution Waipukurau and Waipawa are both placed in the same electorate. While ideally Waipukurau would return to the Tukituki electorate, population quotas may require Waipawa to move to the Wairarapa electorate.

#### N38 002 *n* **Objection J M Harris**

Objects to the name 'Wairarapa' for the proposed electorate as it is not representative of those areas now included in the north of the electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Wairarapa-Bush' after the Seventy-Mile Bush that ran from Takapau to Kopuaranga.

#### N38 003 b Objection J D Sanderson

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Central Hawke's Bay in the proposed Wairarapa electorate. This region shares a strong community of interest and should not be split across two electorates.

Suggested solution Move the boundary of the Tukituki electorate down to south of Takapau.

#### N38 004 b, h Objection Central Hawke's Bay District Council

Similar to N38/001 and N38/003.

Suggested solution Include all of the Central Hawke's Bay in one electorate.

N38	005 b	Objection A J McMillan
		Similar to N38/001.
		Suggested solution Place Waipawa and Waipukurau townships in the same electorate.
N38	006 b	Objection H C Wright
		Similar to N38/001.
		Suggested solution None given.
N38	007 b	Objection P M Hudson
		Similar to N38/001.
		Suggested solution Return the Waipukurau area to the Tukituki electorate.
N38	008 b	Objection J B Wright
		Similar to N38/001.
		Suggested solution None given.
N38	009 b	Objection C & G Thompson
		Similar to N38/001.
		Notes that the geographic size of the proposed electorate will make it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.
		Suggested solution Place all of Central Hawke's Bay District in the Tukituki electorate and all of the Tararua District in the Wairarapa electorate.
		Or:
		Retain the current boundary.
N38	010 b	Objection G Burnside
		Similar to N38/009.
		Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
N38	011 <i>n</i>	Objection Eketahuna Community Board
		Similar to N38/002.
		Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Tararua Ruahine East' or 'Tararua Ruahine Eastern Range District'.
N39		Rangitikei
N39	001 <i>n</i>	Objection Ruapehu District Council
		Objects to the name Rangitikei for the proposed electorate, as it does not reflect the inclusion of the Ruapehu District in the proposed electorate.
		Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Ruapehu-Rangitikei'.

# N40 Palmerston North

## N40 001 b **Objection M G Natoli**

Objects to Terrace End and Roslyn being placed in the same electorate.

Suggested solution Retain current boundary.

# N41 Otaki

N41 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour** 

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Otaki electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Ōtaki'.

# N42 Mana

#### N42 001 b Objection M R Henderson & 2 others

Objects to the boundary between the proposed electorates of Mana and Ohariu running down the centreline of residential suburban streets. This divides people who share a community of interest.

Suggested solution Redraw the boundary to pass through neighbouring areas of low or no residential population density. Two mapped options provided.

N42 002 b, h Objection E M Henderson

Similar to N42/001.

Suggested solution Similar to N42/001.

N42 003 b Objection D J M Perry

Objects to the inclusion of parts of Tawa in the proposed Mana electorate, as this splits the community of interest of the suburb.

Suggested solution Use the boundary between Porirua and Wellington cities as the electorate boundary.

#### N43 Rimutaka

#### N43 001 *n*, *h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the name 'Rimutaka' for the proposed electorate as the name is only relevant for the northern part of the electorate and has negative associations with the name of Rimutaka Prison.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Hutt North'.

N43 002 b, h Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the inclusion of Belmont in the proposed Rimutaka electorate. This area shares a community of interest and transport links with the Hutt South electorate.

Also objects to the shift of the southern boundary at Naenae to Wingate.

Suggested solution Include Belmont in the Hutt South electorate.

Shift the current Rimutaka southern boundary to include only that part of Naenae north of Rata Street.

#### N43 003 b Objection B C Paterson

Similar to N43/002.

Belmont shares a community of interest, as well as geographic and transport links, with the rest of the Western Hill suburbs in the Ohariu electorate.

Suggested solution Include Belmont in the Ohariu electorate.

Or:

Place all of the Western Hill suburbs in one Hutt-based electorate.

#### N43 004 b Objection Hutt City Council

Similar to N43/002.

Suggested solution Similar to N43/002.

Ideally, include all of the Western Hills in the Hutt South electorate and all of Naenae in the Rimutaka electorate.

#### N45 Ohariu

#### N45 001 *n* Objection B R Costley

Objects to the name 'Ohariu' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Onslow'.

#### N45 002 b Objection K McGavin

Objects to the inclusion of the Western Hutt hills in the proposed Ohariu electorate. This area's community of interest lies with the rest of the Hutt.

Suggested solution Include the area in the Hutt South electorate.

#### N45 003 b Objection S Fitzjohn

Similar to N45/002.

Suggested solution Similar to N45/002.

#### N45 004 b Objection P A Stratton

Similar to N45/002.

Suggested solution Similar to N45/002.

#### S01 Nelson

#### S01 001 b Objection R A Merrilees

Objects to the southern boundary of the proposed Nelson electorate. It does not future-proof this electorate or the proposed West-Coast Tasman electorate for projected population changes in the region.

Suggested solution Run the boundary along the Waimea, Wairoa and Roding rivers or along the urban expansion limit of Richmond.

**West Coast - Tasman** 

**S02** 

302			west Coast - rasman
S02	001	b	Objection M Eames
			Objects to the inclusion of Wakefield in the proposed West Coast- Tasman electorate. Wakefield's community of interest and geography both align it with Nelson.
			Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate.  Amend the Electoral Act to place more weight on geographic area.
S02	002	b	Objection G U France
			Similar to S02/001.
			Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate. If the West Coast-Tasman electorate requires more population this could be taken from its southern boundary around Wanaka.
S02	003	b, h	Objection T E France
			Similar to S02/001.
			Notes that the inclusion of Wakefield in the proposed West Coast- Tasman electorate will make it even more difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.
			Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate.
S02	004	b	Objection S A Dearing
			Similar to S02/003.
			Notes that the population growth of the West Coast-Tasman electorate may be higher than projected as major subdivisions are planned for the Motueka and Mapua areas, whereas the Nelson electorate has little room left for major development and its projected population growth is minimal.
			Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.
S02	005	b	Objection J L Dearing, JP
			Similar to S02/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.
S02	006	b	Objection M L Griffin
			Similar to S02/001.
			Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
S02	007	b, h	Objection G M Hope
			Similar to S02/001.
			Also objects on the grounds of communication facilities, which are all Nelson-focused, and topographical features, as the Spooner Range forms a natural boundary.
			Suggested solution The West Coast-Tasman electorate should include Karamea, Murchison to Hanmer Springs, Springfield and south to Haast, with neighbouring boundaries adjusted as necessary.
S02	800	b	Objection M Stokes
			Similar to S02/003.

Suggested solution Use the Spooner Range as the northern boundary of the electorate. Include Wanaka if needed for population quotas.

Similar to S02/007.  Suggested solution Use the Spooner Range as the northern boundary of the electorate.  S02 010 b, h Objection J C Ironside  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 011 b, h Objection M Higgins  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.	S02	009	b, h	Objection Wakefield Community Council
boundary of the electorate.  So2 010 b, h Objection J C Ironside Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  So2 011 b, h Objection M Higgins Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  So2 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  So2 013 b Objection A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  So2 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Similar to S02/007.
Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 011 b, h Objection M Higgins Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003. Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Solution M Higgins Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003. Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  Solution A Talbot-Price & 537 others Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Solution A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  Solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  Solution Similar to S02/003.  Solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  Solution Similar to S02/003. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.	S02	010	b, h	Objection J C Ironside
S02 011 b, h Objection M Higgins Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003. Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Similar to S02/001.
Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.	S02	011	b, h	Objection M Higgins
Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.  Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Objection A J Eames  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Similar to S02/001.
S02 012 b Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.
Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  S02 013 b Objection A J Eames  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.  Suggested solution A J Eames Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  Suggested solution Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.	S02	012	b	Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others
S02 013 b Objection A J Eames Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001. Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Similar to S02/001.
Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee  Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Suggested solution Similar to S02/003.
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.  S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.	S02	013	b	Objection A J Eames
S02 014 b, h Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Similar to S02/001.
Similar to S02/001.  Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.				Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009.
Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.	S02	014	b, h	Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee
				Similar to S02/001.
S03 Kaikoura				Suggested solution Similar to S02/008.
S03 Kaikoura				
	<b>S03</b>			Kaikoura
S03 001 b Objection W Stafford	S03	001	b	Objection W Stafford
Notes the increase of population in the Marlborough region.				Notes the increase of population in the Marlborough region.
S03 002 n Objection G R Seymour	S03	002	n	Objection G R Seymour

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Kaikoura electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Kaikōura'.

# S04 Waimakariri

#### S04 001 b, h Objection A McRobie

Objects to the inclusion of the area on the north side of Winters Road in the proposed Waimakariri electorate. This area relies on Queen Elizabeth II Drive and Frasers Street for transport access and has a community of interest with the area to the south of Winters Road.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Christchurch Central electorate.

#### S04 002 b, n, h Objection S Russell

Objects to the inclusion of Rangiora and the rural area to the west of the town in the proposed Waimakariri electorate. These rural areas do not share a community of interest with the rest of the urban Waimakariri electorate.

Also objects to the name 'Waimakariri' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Include this area in the Selwyn electorate. The whole of the Papanui area could then be included in this electorate along with the Styx and that part of the Travis Wetland Area unit that is north of Mairehau Road.

Name the electorate 'Christchurch North'.

<b>S07</b>			Christchurch Central		
S07	001	b	Objection	J Thornton, Registrar of Electors – Waimakariri	
				e proposed boundary with Waimakariri electorate along load and Winters Road, as it is confusing and unclear.	
				<i>lution</i> That the boundary between Main North Road izabeth II Drive goes straight along Winters Road.	
S07	002	b, h	Objection	D Pyatt, Principal – Papanui High School	
			area in the pro	e inclusion of Papanui High School and the surrounding oposed Christchurch Central electorate. This area g community of interest with the proposed electorate.	
				<i>lution</i> Retain the existing boundary along Main North rewood Road.	
S07	003	b	Objection	C & J Payne	
			-	e area around Hoani Street, Papanui being included in Christchurch Central electorate.	
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to S07/002.	
S07	004	b, h	Objection	P C Berry – Papanui Beautifying Association	
			Similar to S0'	7/002.	
			Suggested sol	lution Similar to S07/002.	
S07	005	b, h	Objection	S Russell	
			Similar to S0	7/002.	
			The whole Pa	panui area should be included in one electorate.	
				<i>lution</i> Run the boundary along Papanui Road, Innes rd Street and Philpotts Road.	
S07	006	b	Objection	H Burrows	
			Similar to S0'	7/002.	

Suggested solution None given.

S07	007	b, h	Objection	R A & M M Mannall
			Similar to S07	/002.
			Suggested soluelectorate.	ution Keep all of Papanui in the Waimakariri
S07	800	b	Objection	T Davie
			and Selwyn ele This is a subur	boundary between the proposed Christchurch Central ectorates running down the centre of Greers Road. ban street whose residents share a community of the rest of Papanui in the Waimakariri electorate.
			Suggested solu	ation Do not use Greers Road as a boundary.
S07	009	b, h	Objection	M Barry & 190 others
			Similar to S07	/005.
			_	longstanding community of interest and should not be veral electorates.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S07/007.
S07	010	b, h	Objection	G Condon, Councillor – Shirley-Papanui Ward
			Similar to S07	/009.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S07/007.
S07	011	b, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
			electorate in th	boundary of the proposed Christchurch Central ne area of Brougham Street and Ensors Road, as the lows no consistent path.
				ation Run the boundary along the railway line or eet or align it with the former Christchurch City boundary.
S07	012	b, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
				inclusion of Fraser and Wiremu Streets in the stchurch Central electorate, as they are separated from ity of interest.
			Street south-ea	ation Run the boundary along the centre of Cranford ast from the intersection with Main North Road for a diagonally north-east to Winters Road.
S07	013	b, h	Objection	Shirley Papanui Community Board
			Similar to S07	/005.
			Suggested solu	ation Retain the current Waimakariri boundary.

# S08 Christchurch East

## S08 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**

Objects to the name 'Christchurch East' for the proposed electorate, as the area covered is predominantly north-east Christchurch.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Pegasus', as the proposed electorate is a coastal area facing Pegasus Bay.

#### S08 002 n, h Objection A W Gilmore

Similar to S08/001

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Burwood-Pegasus', 'Burwood' or 'Parklands'.

#### S09 Banks Peninsula

#### S09 001 b, n, h Objection S Russell

Objects to the inclusion of the suburbs of Sydenham, Bromley, Beckenham and Woolston in the proposed Banks Peninsula electorate, as these suburbs have a different community of interest to the electorate's core of Cashmere and Opawa.

Also objects to the inclusion of rural parts of Banks Peninsula, including Akaroa and Okains Bay, in this otherwise urban electorate.

Objects to the name 'Banks Peninsula' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the existing southern boundaries of the Christchurch Central and Christchurch East electorates.

Transfer the rural areas, such as Akaroa, to the rural Selwyn electorate.

Name the electorate 'Port Hills'.

# S10 Selwyn

#### S10 001 b Objection R E Fuller

Objects to the inclusion of the suburb of Westmorland in the proposed Selwyn electorate. The majority of the electorate is rural in nature and does not share a community of interest with this urban area, which aligns itself with Christchurch City.

Suggested solution Include the Westmorland area within one of the Christchurch City electorates.

#### S10 002 b, h Objection A McRobie

Objects to the splitting of the suburbs of Bishopdale, Casebrook, Papanui, Northcote and Redwood across the proposed Selwyn and Waimakariri electorates. These areas have a distinct, coherent community of interest and should be placed in an electorate together.

Suggested solution Include these suburbs in the Waimakariri electorate. This would also help Selwyn stay within quota, given its projected population growth.

#### S10 003 b, h Objection G D Barber

Similar to S10/002.

Suggested solution Similar to S10/002.

#### S10 004 b Objection Casebrook Intermediate School

Similar to S10/002.

Suggested solution Similar to S10/002.

S10	005	b, h	Objection	M M Barry, Member – Shirley-Papanui Community Board
			Similar to S10	/002.
			00	tion Use population from the rural, northern part of ri electorate to increase the population of the Selwyn
S10	006	b, h	Objection	P G McMahon
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	007	b,h	Objection	C M Holmes QSM, JP
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	800	b, h	Objection	P C Berry – Papanui Beautifying Association
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	009	b, h	Objection	S Russell
			Similar to S10	/001 and S10/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/001, S10/002 and S10/005.
S10	010	b	Objection	S & E Evans
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	011	b, h	Objection	J C Mildenhall QSM
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	012	b, h	Objection	L J Harris
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	013	b, h	Objection	C R Stewart
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	014	b, h	Objection	G Condon, Councillor – Shirley-Papanui Ward
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	ation Similar to S10/002.
S10	015	b, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
			Similar to S10	/002.
			from Russley a	ation Use the current Rakaia-Waimakariri boundary and Harewood Roads north-east along Waimakariri north along Sayers Arms Road.
S10	016	b, h	Objection	Shirley Papanui Community Board
			Similar to S10	/002.
			Suggested solu	tion Retain the current Waimakariri boundary.

#### S12 Waitaki

#### S12 001 b,h Objection J N Jolly

Objects to the inclusion of Geraldine in the proposed Waitaki electorate as its community of interest lies with Temuka, Winchester, Orari, Rangitata, Arundel and Peel Forest, all of which are included in the proposed Rangitata electorate.

Also objects to Central Otago and South Canterbury being placed in the same electorate, as they share no community of interest or geographical similarity.

Suggested solution Electorates should be based around major population centres such as Christchurch, Dunedin and Invercargill. The proposed Dunedin North and Dunedin South electorates could be combined into one Dunedin electorate, with surplus population used to complete a northern Southland/South Otago electorate and a Central/North Otago electorate. The existing Aoraki electorate boundaries could then be adjusted to retain Timaru as its population centre and focus.

#### S12 002 b Objection R Aukens

Objects to being included in an electorate that covers more than one province on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Retain the current Aoraki electorate.

#### S12 003 b Objection S G Walker

Objects to the division of the Rosewill Rural District between the proposed Waitaki and Rangitata electorates. The district has a strong community of interest that lies with both Timaru and Pleasant Point.

Suggested solution Use the Pareroa River as a topographical boundary. Queenstown could be moved into the proposed Waitaki electorate and boundaries around Dunedin and Christchurch adjusted to compensate.

Or:

Move Downlands and Pleasant Point into the proposed Rangitata electorate, which already includes Timaru.

#### S12 004 b Objection D P Bell

Objects to the boundary of the proposed Waitaki electorate running so close to Timaru. The existing boundary runs along the Waitaki River, which is a more notable topographical feature than the proposed boundary along Salt Water Creek. This area has a strong community of interest with Timaru, being the nearest major population centre, and none with areas further south.

Suggested solution Ideally, use the Hunter Hills as the boundary, but at the least use the Pareroa River.

#### S12 005 b Objection G F & E J Gee

Similar to S12/001.

Suggested solution None given.

#### S12 006 b Objection K A Bell

Similar to S12/004.

Suggested solution Similar to S12/004.

S12	007	b	Objection D Diamond
			Objects to the alterations to the existing Aoraki electorate boundary as these ignore natural boundaries and local communities of interest.
			Suggested solution None given.
S12	800	b, h	Objection G T Callanan
			Requests that the proposed Waitaki electorate boundaries be adopted as local government boundaries.
S12	009	b	Objection J D Malt
			Similar to S12/001.
			Suggested solution Keep all areas administered by the Timaru District Council within one electorate.
S12	010	b	Objection P A & W A Cody
			Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Waitaki electorate.
			Suggested solution Similar to S12/002.
S12	011	b	Objection F E Hocken
			Objects to the inclusion of Twizel in the proposed Waitaki electorate, as this area's community of interest lies with Timaru.
			Suggested solution Similar to S12/009.
S12	012	b, h	Objection J Annear, Mayor – Timaru District Council
			Similar to S12/007.
			Suggested solution Similar to S12/002.
S12	013	b	Objection J L & R P Tennent
			Similar to S12/007.
			Suggested solution Similar to S12/002.
S12	014	b, h	Objection M Tarrant
			Objects to the division of the existing Aoraki electorate and the subsequent inclusion of the towns of Geraldine, Pleasant Point, Fairlie, Tekapo, Twizel, Pareora and Waimate in the proposed Waitaki electorate. Both topography and community of interest link these areas with the rest of the existing Aoraki electorate.
			Suggested solution Emphasise topography and community of interest ahead of population numbers when determining boundaries, particularly for geographically large electorates.
S12	015	b, h	Objection South Canterbury Federated Farmers
			Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Timaru District in the Waitaki electorate on the grounds of community of interest. The South Canterbury region has a distinct identity and should not be split.
			Suggested solution Run the electorate boundary along the southern boundary of the Timaru District. Adjustments to other boundaries suggested to accommodate this.
S12	016	b, h	Objection C R Vincent
			Similar to S12/007.
			Suggested solution Similar to S12/002.

S12	017	b	Objection	National Council of New Zealand Women - South Canterbury Branch
			Similar to S1	2/007.
			Suggested soil	lution Similar to S12/002.
S12	018	b	Objection	J G Campbell
				e boundaries of the proposed Waitaki electorate being roads. This divides valleys, neighbours and rural
				<i>lution</i> Use natural physical boundaries, such as rivers s, as electorate boundaries in rural areas.
S12	019	n, h	Objection	G M Kircher
				e name 'Waitaki' for the proposed electorate, as the nclusive of the whole electorate.
			Suggested so	lution Name the electorate 'South Canterbury-Otago'.
S12	020	b, h	Objection	Aoraki National Party
			•	e inclusion of Pleasant Point in the proposed Waitaki its community of interest lies with Timaru and the ctorate.
			be in one elec	lution Ideally, the whole of the Timaru District should ctorate. As this is likely to happen in future boundary in the meantime place Pleasant Point in the Rangitata
S12	021	b	Objection	M M Vincent
			Similar to S1	2/007.
			Supports the	submission by the Timaru District Council (S12/012).
			Suggested soil	lution Similar to S12/002.
S12	022	b	Objection	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce
			Similar to S1	2/007.
			Suggested so	lution Similar to S12/002.
<b>S13</b>			Dunedin	North
S13	001	b	Objection	A Robins, Dunedin North Labour Party
			Supports the electorate.	minor changes proposed for the Dunedin North
S13	002	b, h	Objection	A McRobie
				e boundary between the proposed Dunedin North and orates on the grounds of maintaining a defined f interest.
				lution Use the Dunedin City territorial boundary to electorate boundary. Include the area around

Palmerston in the Waitaki electorate.

S15			Clutha - Southland
S15	001	n	Objection B R Costley
			Objects to the name 'Clutha-Southland' for the proposed electorate, as the geographic area covered incorporates South Otago, West Otago, Fiordland and Southland.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Southland', as this is the largest and most central of the regions included in the electorate.
S15	002	b	Objection G Bradshaw
			Supports the boundary for the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate, particularly the inclusion of Queenstown within the proposed electorate. Would like to see the boundary used by local government.
S15	003	b	Objection L D Turnbull – Mataura Ward Councillor
			Objects to the inclusion of Mataura in the proposed Clutha- Southland electorate. Mataura's community of interest lies with Invercargill.
			Suggested solution Run the boundary of the Invercargill electorate north of Mataura.
S15	004	b, h	Objection S K Simpson
			Objects to the inclusion of Roxburgh and surrounds in the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Alexandra and the rest of Otago in the Waitaki electorate. Hills separate it from the rest of the Clutha-Southland electorate.
			Suggested solution Move Roxburgh into the Waitaki electorate. To compensate, the Dunedin South boundary could be extended out to the Dunedin City Council boundary to include Middlemarch.
			Change the Electoral Act so that the geographic size of electorates is considered as well as population figures.
S15	005	b, h	Objection Invercargill Labour Electorate Committee
			Similar to S15/003.
			Suggested solution Similar to S15/003.
S15	006	b	Objection Southland District Council
			Similar to S15/004.
			Queenstown, Arrowtown and Roxburgh share a community of interest with Otago, not Southland.
			Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
			Review the criteria for determining boundaries so that community of interest rather than population is the main consideration.
S15	007	b, h	Objection G M Kircher

Objects the inclusion of Roxburgh, Millers Flat, Ettrick and Teviot in the proposed Clutha-Southland. These areas' community of interest lies with Alexandra.

Suggested solution Include these areas in the Waitaki electorate.

S15	008	n	Objection A Venables
			Objects to the name 'Clutha-Southland' for the proposed electorate. The name no longer represents the geographic area covered by the electorate.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Otago-Southland'.
S15	009	b, h	Objection Eric Roy, MP – Invercargill
			Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Fairfax area in the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate.
			Suggested solution Shift the boundary with the Invercargill electorate north to include all of Fairfax-Isla Bank Road and the Fairfax township.
S15	010	b	Objection P Wilson
			Similar to S15/003.
			Suggested solution Include Mataura and surrounds in the Invercargill electorate. This would also help Invercargill maintain its population quota against projected growth figures.
S15	011	b	Objection Queenstown Lakes District Council
			Supports the boundaries of the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate. Has concerns about the splitting of the Wakatipu area and the Wanaka Ward across two electorates, but considers this unavoidable in the short term, given the projected growth in the district.
			Suggested solution That the Wakatipu and Wanaka areas be reunited into one electorate at the next boundary review.
<b>S16</b>			Invercargill
S16	001	n	Objection A Reid
			Objects to the name 'Invercargill' for the proposed electorate. This name focuses on the city to the exclusion of rural areas of the electorate.
			Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Foveaux'.
S16	002	b	Objection M C Dodge
			Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Catlins community in the proposed Invercargill electorate. The Catlins area shares a distinct rural community of interest and should not be split.
			Suggested solution Develop the Invercargill electorate as an urban electorate. Keep the Catlins area together in a rural focused electorate.
S16	003	n	Objection P Lewis
			Similar to S16/001,

Notes that the name 'Invercargill' does not encompass the diversity of communities included in the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to S16/001,

S16	004	b	Objection	Southland District Council
			Thornbury in t	inclusion of Orepuki, Colac Bay, Riverton and the proposed Invercargill electorate. These areas' interest lies with Southland.
			Suggested solı	ution Retain the current boundary.
				iteria for determining boundaries so that community of than population is the main consideration.
S16	005	b	Objection	M & F Sutherland
			Similar to S16	5/002,
			Suggested solt River.	ution Retain the current boundary along the Mataura
S16	006	b	Objection	Tourism Catlins
			Similar to S16	5/002,
			Suggested solı	ution Similar to S16/005,
S16	007	b	Objection	P Wilson
			Objects to the electorate.	inclusion of Riverton in the proposed Invercargill
			Suggested solı	ution None given.
S16	800	b, h	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
			Similar to S16	5/002 and S16/007.
				ution Redraw the boundary to include areas with nunity of interest with Invercargill.

# M02 Tamaki Makaurau

#### M02 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the spelling of the name of the proposed Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The name should follow Maori orthographic convention.

Suggested solution Spell the name 'Tāmaki-makau-rau'.

M03			Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato
M03	001	b, n, h	Objection Ngāti Maru Rūnanga
			Supports the boundary and name for the proposed Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato electorate.
M03	002	b, n	Objection Hako Hauraki Aotearoa
			Objects to the boundary and name for the proposed Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato electorate.
			Suggested solution Restore the historic Hauraki electorate and boundaries.
M03	003	n, h	Objection Te Kupenga o Ngati Hako Inc
			Supports the name 'Pare Hauraki-Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate. This name is more inclusive of the iwi included in the

electorate than the previous name 'Tainui'.

#### M03 004 b, n, h Objection Nanaia Mahuta, MP – Tainui

Objects to the inclusion of Manurewa, Papakura and Waiheke Island in the proposed Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate. These areas share a community of interest with the Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The boundaries of the electorate should remain as close as possible to the existing Tainui electorate boundaries.

Also objects to the name 'Pare Hauraki – Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Use the natural boundaries of the Bombay Hills, Hunua Ranges and the Hingaia Peninsula to set the boundary of the Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate should then be extended to include Tokoroa and Maniapoto, who share strong links with the electorate.

Retain the name 'Tainui' for the electorate.

#### M03 005 b, h Objection T L Turner

Supports the boundary of the proposed Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate.

#### M03 006 n, h Objection D T Te Kanawa

Objects to the name 'Pare Hauraki – Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the name 'Tainui' for the electorate.

## M05 Te Tai Hauauru

#### M05 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Te Tai Hauauru electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Te Tai Hauāuru'.

#### M05 002 b, h Objection D T Te Kanawa

Objects to the northern boundary of the proposed Te Tai Hauauru electorate. The proposed boundary places Ngati Maniapoto in a separate electorate from the rest of the traditional Tainui confederation of tribes. Population quota tolerances allow for the current boundary to be retained.

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary near the Ngati Maniapoto southern boundary. Move the boundary near Putaruru south. This would reunite part of the Raukawa (Tainui) tribal area with the rest of Tainui.

#### M06 Ikaroa-Rawhiti

#### M06 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Ikaroa-Rawhiti electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Ikaroa-Rāwhiti'.

# M07 Te Tai Tonga

M07 001 b, h Objection H Collins, Secretary – The Maori Party, Te Upoko o te lka branch

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the North Island in the proposed Te Tai Tonga electorate. The size of the electorate makes it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.

Suggested solution Use Raukawa Moana/Cook Strait as the northern boundary.

